

# City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

PLANNING SERVICE  
 RECEIVED  
 BY MRS JON

For Office Use only:

Date

Ref

## Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

### Publication Draft - Representation Form

#### PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

\* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.

1. YOUR DETAILS*		2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	MRS	
First Name	[REDACTED]	
Last Name	THOMAS	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Line 2	PUDSEY	
Line 3	LEEDS	
Line 4	[REDACTED]	
Post Code	LS28 [REDACTED]	
Telephone Number	[REDACTED]	
Email Address	[REDACTED]	
Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date: 29-03-14

**Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998**  
 Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district. Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

For Office Use only

Date

Ref

**PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.**

**3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?**

Section	5 4	Paragraph	5.2.10 to 5.2.18 4.1. F 9	Policy	5.2transport and moving 4 regional City of Bradford
---------	--------	-----------	---------------------------------	--------	---

**4. Do you consider the Plan is:**

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes		No	
4 (2). Sound	Yes		No	No
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes		No	No

**5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.**

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

# City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

I consider that Bradford has not given specific road plans for the Holme Wood Urban Development. There are no plans to show what will happen to these people once they leave the development for work or leisure. This therefore means the policy is unsound!

Currently the provisions are totally inadequate for such a large development as the options are for:

1. Leave Holme Wood through its current access on Dink Lane - totally unsuitable.
2. Leave onto the most congested road in Bradford - Tong Street.
3. Travel down Tong Lane through Tong Village where there are speed restrictions and traffic calming bumps which are unsuitable for increased volume of traffic!
4. To leave on further country lanes of Maki Lane and Raikes Lane, which are currently totally unsuitable for traffic.

Bradford have not outlined what plans for road provision will be!

Suggestions have been made to a new highway that will link Westgate Hill to Thornbury. This will not only erode away further Greenbelt land, but will cut through the ancient woodland of Black Carr Woods (it is likely to cause disruption to the housing estates of Sutton and Tyersal). It is likely to come out into Thornbury near a Primary school and will then lead on to the busy and already congested A647 which is the main link road between Leeds and Bradford.

I believe that this shows a lack of cooperation between neighbouring authority.

- 6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).**

**You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

*Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.*

For Office Use only:

Date

Ref

**PART B - YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.**

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section 4  
3 Paragraph 41 - 44  
3-48 Policy Sub Area Policy  
BOE 4  
353

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
4 (2). Sound	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

I do not believe that Bradford have given this are consideration, especially as it will have consequences in the neighbouring authority of Leeds.

Whilst in the document much thought has been given to the prevention of flooding of the Bradford Beck, no mention is given to the consequences of flooding by the Pudsey Beck on the people of Troydale in Leeds. I cannot find any communication about this, and my local councillor in Pudsey say Bradford reacted in a hostile manner when this was raised on behalf of Leeds Council.

The geography of the land is that the Holme Wood Urban development is at the top of the Valley and Troydale is at the bottom.

At the moment, the fields at the top of the Tong Valley absorb a lot of the rainfall water, this restricting the amount flow into Holme Beck, which then flows into Pudsey Beck. Leeds have done some flood prevention work at Troydale, before a housing development was built there, but will this be adequate when the extra surge from the developed land flows quickly into Holme Beck, and then into Pudsey Beck when we have storm conditions or consistently wet weather seen in the summer of 2012? I think that show total lack of co-operation with Leeds.



# City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

For Office Use only:

Date

Ref:

## PART B - YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	<u>4-1-2</u> <u>4</u> <u>5</u>	Paragraph	<u>4-1-2</u> <u>4-2-2</u> <u>5-3-22/23/24</u>	Policy	
---------	--------------------------------------	-----------	---	--------	--

4-B

2-11 3.7/4.2

BD7A

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

3

SC5 A3

4 (1). Legally compliant

Yes

No

4 (2). Sound

Yes

No

4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate

Yes

No

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Much of the Holme Wood Urban Development would be on Green Belt Land which protects the Urban Sprawl of Leeds and Bradford merging. ~~The~~ The Green Belt provides a much needed 'lung of green' and should not be infringed on. I find this development unsound because have Bradford exhausted all other brownfield sites and do they have a clear Green belt policy.

The development will almost come down to the Leeds Country Way, which has been enhanced for the people in the Tong Valley to enjoy for walking, cycling and horseriding - a much needed facility for the people of the two cities to enjoy.

Further more if the highway link road between Westgate Hill and Thornbury is constructed this will further erode our precious Greenbelt land passing through the ancient woodland of Black Carr Woods. Bradford already admits in section 5.4.48 that it has 5% of woodland compared with a national average of 10%. therefore can it afford to lose ~~more~~ woodland?

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

*Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.*

*After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.*

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

<input type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

*Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.*

9. Signature:



Date:

29-03-14

**Core Strategy Development Plan Document (DPD) : Publication Draft**

**PART C: EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY MONITORING FORM**

Bradford Council would like to find out the views of groups in the local community. Please help us to do this by filling in the form below. It will be separated from your representation above and will not be used for any purpose other than monitoring.

**Please place an 'X' in the appropriate boxes.**

1. Do you live within or have an interest in the Bradford District?

